UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,388	01/02/2004	Eldad Taub	25306Y	9142
20529 NATH & ASS	7590 12/27/2006 OCIATES		EXAMINER	
112 South Wes			25306Y 9142  EXAMINER  WILSON, JOHN J  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI  3732  DELIVERY MODE	, ЈОНИ Ј
Alexandria, V	A 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
·				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/27/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			Sp
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-0 J
	10/749,388	TAUB ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John J. Wilson	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this commandance (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The solution of the solution	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 27-54 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 27-54 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers.	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		hy the Eveniner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the	·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in ricity documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)	Λ. · · · · ·	Common (DTO 442)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3732

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 27-44 and 48-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chishti et al (6471511) in view of Doyle et al (5879158) and Wu et al (5338198). Chishti teaches selecting an initial aligner shape 202, which is an orthodontic component, providing initial teeth positions 302, associating the component with the teeth 340, using a set of rules 220 to model the effect on teeth 230 in order to find an acceptable aligner shape from which the aligner is made. The data used to make the aligner is inherently a prescription. Chishti teaches the method using an embodiment of an aligner shell to move the teeth, and as such, this embodiment does not show selecting a set of components, however, Chishti teaches that the method can be used with brackets and arch wires, column 2, lines 13-22. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that, if the method were used with braces as taught, it would require selecting a set of components. Chishti teaches using a display for user input and to show animation of the tooth positions and path, column 4, lines 36-50. Chishti does not specifically state showing images of two or three stages of the method. Doyle teaches a first three-dimensional image 14, 16, selecting a virtual set of components and associating the components with the teeth of the first image, 30, 31 to inherently

Application/Control Number: 10/749,388

Art Unit: 3732

form a second image, moving the teeth which form a third image 59, 61, 63. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chishti to include displaying the models at different points in the method as shown by Doyle. The above combination does not show a model being manipulable so as to allow viewing from a desired direction. Wu teaches electronic models that can be viewed from any perspective, column 1, lines 20-25. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include the function of allowing models to be viewed from different directions as shown by Wu in order to make use of well know computer graphics tool for computer graphics design. Repeating steps and the types of parameters that are changed are obvious matters of choice in duplicating known steps and in well known parameters that will effect a change in the model to one of ordinary skill in the art. As to claims 34 and 35, the alternative of user or automatic input are well known to the skilled artisan. As to claims 39 and 40, the type of database used is an obvious matter of choice in known ways of storing information to one of ordinary skill in the art. As to claims, 41-44, the above combination does not show using tooth extraction as a parameter in the treatment planning. Wu teaches using a tooth extraction, column 9, lines 1-6. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include the use of the parameter of tooth extraction as shown by Wu in order to make use of the parameters needed in a patient's case. As to claims 48 and 49, to output information obtained by a computer is well known.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/749,388

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chishti et al (6471511) in view of Doyle et al (5879158) and Wu et al (5338198) as applied to claim 27 above, and further in view of Kopelman (6334853). The above combination does not show using an added tooth as a parameter in treatment planning. Kopelman teaches using an added tooth, column 1, lines 25-30. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include the use of a known parameter as shown by Kopelman in order to obtain the desired final dentition.

## Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on November 1, 2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of any patent that may issue on application Serial No. 11/051,329 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 1, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to the removal of the Chishti et al (6471511) reference, it is noted that the filing date of Chishti '511 is October 8, 1998 which is before the filing date of December 7, 1998 of PCT/IU00593, and as such, qualifies as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). To rely of foreign priority to remove such a reference, applicant must perfect priority by filing a certified English language translation so that

Application/Control Number: 10/749,388 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

the examiner can establish enablement and description requirements, MPEP 706.02

(E).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John J. Wilson whose telephone number is 571-272-

4722). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cris Rodriguez, can be reached at 571-272-4964. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John J. Wilson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3732

ijw

**December 18, 2006**